arXiv:1202.1239v1 [hep-th] 6 Feb 2012

Living with ghosts in Lorentz invariant theories

Jaume Garriga[1], Alexander Vilenkin[2].

[1] *Departament de Física Fonamental i*

Institut de Ciències del Cosmos, Universitat de Barcelona,

Martí i Franquès 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

[2] *Institute of Cosmology, Department of Physics and Astronomy,*

Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155, USA

Abstract

We show that theories with ghosts may have a long lived vacuum state even if all interactions are Lorentz preserving. In space-time dimension $D > 2$, this is only possible if we assume that the interaction between ordinary matter and the ghost sector is soft in the UV, so that it can be described in terms of non-local form factors rather than point-like vertices.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ghosts have the reputation of being disastrous for particle theories. Any theory that allows ghosts, that is, particles with negative kinetic energy, to appear in physical states suffers from an instability with respect to spontaneous creation of ghosts and ordinary particles with zero total energy and momentum. This process may be strongly suppressed if the coupling between the particle and ghost sectors is very weak. But even in the absence of any direct coupling both sectors must be coupled to gravity, and so gravity-mediated vacuum decay cannot be avoided.

Furthermore, the following standard argument [1–3] indicates that the corresponding decay rate is divergent. Each particle-ghost nucleation event can be characterized by the 4-momentum P^μ of the created particles, the 4-momentum of ghosts being $K^\mu = -P^\mu$. The vacuum decay rate per unit spacetime volume can depend on P^μ only through the invariant

$$s = P_\mu P^\mu. \tag{1}$$

Hence, the total rate will be given by an integral over s and over all possible locations of the 4-vector P^μ on the mass shell (1),

$$\Gamma = \int ds F(s) \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{P}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{P}^2 + s}}, \tag{2}$$

where $F(s)$ is a model-dependent function. The $\mathbf{P}$-integration is over the Lorentz group. This integral is manifestly divergent, which indicates that the theory is inconsistent.

On the other hand, ghosts have been proposed for some interesting cosmological roles. Linde [4] has argued that the smallness of the cosmological constant can be attributed to a ghost sector described by the same Lagrangian as the matter sector, but with opposite sign. Kaplan & Sundrum suggested [3] that the existence of such a sector could follow from an approximate discrete symmetry they called "energy parity". This symmetry is broken by gravity, and a small cosmological constant is induced. Theories with ghosts have also been suggested as models of dark energy [1, 2, 5]. These ideas provide motivation not to dismiss ghosts too lightly and to find a way to get around the vacuum decay catastrophe.

A possible, if somewhat radical, way to do that was pointed out in Refs. [2, 3]. Assuming that the interaction between the particle and ghost sectors is mediated by gravity, they suggested that both integrations in (2) are effectively cut off due to modifications in

gravitational physics above some energy scale μ. In order to induce a cutoff in the Lorentz group integral over $\mathbf{P}$, this new physics necessarily has to violate Lorentz invariance. With these assumptions, the vacuum decay rate can be estimated as

$$\Gamma \sim \frac{\mu^8}{M_p^4}, \tag{3}$$

and it was argued in [2] that for $\mu \ll 1$ MeV particle production at this rate out of vacuum is consistent with observations. The expected magnitude of the cosmological constant in this kind of models is

$$\Lambda \sim \mu^4, \tag{4}$$

which agrees with the observed value for $\mu \sim 10^{-3}$ eV.

Giving up Lorentz invariance is a high price to pay, and in the present paper we shall explore the possibility of living with ghosts in Lorentz invariant theories. We shall argue that this is indeed possible. The key point is that even if Lorentz invariance is not explicitly broken, a vacuum with ghosts can at best be metastable, so it could not have existed forever. If this vacuum was created on some spacelike hypersurface Σ, this surface breaks Lorentz invariance spontaneously and can in principle introduce an effective cutoff for the $\mathbf{P}$-integration in (2). In a cosmological context, the ghost-carrying vacuum could exist inside certain kind of bubbles in models of eternal inflation. In this case, the role of Σ would be played by the hypersurface where the phase transition occurs, from an earlier ghost-free vacuum (or, if all vacua are ghost-carrying, then Σ might be taken as the semiclassical beginning of the universe). For simplicity, here we shall restrict attention to a Minkowski vacuum, and we shall assume that Σ is a flat hypersurface. We shall see that in the presence of such a surface the boost integration in (2) is indeed regulated, provided that the interaction between the particle and ghost sectors which is responsible for the vacuum decay is non-local and has a finite characteristic time (and length) scale μ^{-1}.

Generic forms of on-locality can be problematic in quantum field theories, as they may lead to violations of causality. Such violations, however, may be phenomenologically acceptable, as long as they are confined to sufficiently small scales. This appears to be the case in Lee-Wick theories, which are nonlocal generalizations of the Standard Model [6, 7]. Another problem is that the time ordered exponential in the standard perturbative expansion of the S-matrix is not well defined in a nonlocal theory. Time ordering becomes frame-dependent, and as a result the Lorentz invariance of the S-matrix is not guaranteed. Difficulties with the

defininition of a meaningful S-matrix for generic non-local interactions have been emphasized in [8].

On the other hand, non-locality is acceptable in effective field theories, where it arises from integrating out degrees of freedom of an underlying causal theory. In particular, string theory is expected to be described by an effective field theory, where non-locality becomes important at energies comparable to the string scale.[1] We note also that even though a generic nonlocal theory may violate Lorentz invariance, there are some special theories which are known to be free of this problem; see, e.g., Refs. [7, 10]. We shall assume that the nonlocal interaction between particles and ghosts belongs to this special class.

Our basic idea can be illustrated by the following heuristic argument. Suppose for simplicity that the ghost-infested vacuum is locally Minkowski and was created on a flat hypersurface $t = 0$. Suppose further that in the frame where both particles and ghosts nucleate in their respective center of mass frames, that is, where $\mathbf{P} = 0$, the spacetime region affected by the nucleation process is $\Delta t \sim |\Delta \mathbf{x}| \sim \mu^{-1}$. A nonzero value of $\mathbf{P}$ corresponds to the same process boosted to a frame moving with Lorentz factor $\gamma \sim |\mathbf{P}|/\mu$. For $\gamma \gg 1$, the affected region has the same spacetime volume, but is highly stretched in the direction of motion and in the time direction, e.g.,

$$\Delta t \sim \gamma \mu^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

For vacuum decay occurring at time t, we should require $\Delta t \lesssim t$, since otherwise the nucleation region will stretch beyond the initial surface $t = 0$. The corresponding momentum cutoff is

$$P_{max} \sim \mu^2 t. \tag{6}$$

The particle creation rate is then

$$\Gamma \sim \frac{\mu^8}{M_p^4}(\mu t)^2. \tag{7}$$

Note that integration over the Lorentz group in Eq. (2) comes from integration over external momenta and does not arise in loop diagrams. Hence, vacuum energy diagrams are cut off at μ, not at P_{max}, and the gravitational contribution to the cosmological constant is still expected to be $\sim \mu^4$.

[1] Independent arguments for an intrinsic non-locality of gravity have been presented in the context of black hole evaporation and holography. See e.g. [9] and references therein.

The possibility that the divergent vacuum decay rate may be regularized by a finite age of the universe has been briefly considered by Cline et al [2]. They suggested that the resulting decay rate would be given by an expression similar to Eq. (7), where μ has the meaning of the high-energy cutoff of the theory. The main difference with our results is that the authors of [2] did not recognize the essential role of non-locality in regulating the vacuum decay catastrophe.

In the rest of this paper, we will study the decay rate of a vacuum with ghosts by a direct computation, showing agreement with the heuristic estimate (7). The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we discuss the decay of a ghost-infested vacuum assuming a local interaction between ordinary particles and ghosts in D spacetime dimensions. We find that a finite vacuum lifetime does regulate the decay rate in $D = 2$, but not in any higher number of dimensions.

In Section III, focussing now on the physically interesting case of $D = 4$, we consider a simplified model with restricted non-locality. In this model, interactions are local within each sector while the interaction mediating between the sectors is described by a non-local form factor. This setup is sufficient to eliminate the divergence due to boost integration, in agreement with intuitive expectations. However, in this simplified scenario, the form factor depends on a single invariant, and this will not be enough to control additional phase space divergences which may arise when the number decay products is bigger than two. More precisely, we may be left with a divergence due to integration over the invariant energies of the decay products within each sector. As we shall see in Section IV, this can be remedied by considering fully non-local interactions. In this case, the rate of decay is finite provided that the interaction is soft above some energy scale μ, so that all kinematical invariants constructed out of the decay products are effectively bounded by that scale. Again, the rate agrees with the estimate (7). We conclude in Section V.

II. LOCAL INTERACTION

Consider a theory with ordinary matter fields ϕ, and ghost fields ψ in D spacetime dimensions. A generic vertex with n ordinary particles and n' ghosts has the form

$$S_I = \lambda \int d^D x \; \phi^n(x) \; \psi^{n'}(x). \tag{8}$$

For simplicity we assume all fields to be scalars.

To investigate the effect of a finite lifetime of the vacuum, we shall confine the duration of the interaction to a finite time interval,

$$|x^0| \lesssim T. \tag{9}$$

This can be implemented by means of a Gaussian regulator,

$$S_I = \lambda \int d^D x \; e^{-x_0^2/T^2} \phi^n(x) \; \psi^{n'}(x) \; . \tag{10}$$

The interval $\Delta t \sim 2T$ will then play the role of the time elapsed since the creation of the ghost-carrying vacuum, with the hypersurface $t \sim -T$ playing the role of the surface Σ on which that vacuum was created. (Note that boundaries between spacetime regions occupied by different vacua are not sharply defined, so the sign "$\sim$" is appropriate here.)

From (8), the matrix element for production of n ordinary particles of momentum p_i and m ghosts of momentum k_i is given by

$$\mathcal{M}(0 \to p_1 ... p_n, k_1, ..., k_{n'}) = \lambda \int d^D x \; e^{-i(P+K)x} \; e^{-x_0^2/T^2}, \tag{11}$$

where $P \equiv \sum p_i$ and $K \equiv \sum k_i$ are the total momenta of particles and ghosts. Integrating over $d^D x$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M} = (2\pi)^D \lambda \; \delta^{D-1}(\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{K}) \; \delta_T(\Omega) \; , \tag{12}$$

where $\Omega = P^0 + K^0$ and we have introduced the function

$$\delta_T(\Omega) = \frac{T}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \; e^{-\Omega^2 T^2/4}. \tag{13}$$

The latter approaches the standard $\delta(\Omega)$ of energy conservation in the limit $T \to \infty$.

The total probability of decay is given by

$$\mathcal{P} = \int \prod_{i,j} \tilde{d}\mathbf{p}_i \; \tilde{d}\mathbf{k}_j \; |\mathcal{M}|^2, \tag{14}$$

where we use the notation

$$\tilde{d}\mathbf{p}_i = \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}_i}{2(2\pi)^{D-1}|p_i^0|}. \tag{15}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}$ only depends on the total momenta P and K for particles and ghosts. Hence, it is convenient to factor out the phase space integrals over the individual momenta of the decay products. To do this, we write

$$\mathcal{P} = \int d^D P \; d^D K \; ds \; ds' \; \delta(P^2 - s) \; \delta(K^2 - s') \; \rho_\phi(P^2) \; \rho_\psi(K^2) \; |\mathcal{M}|^2, \tag{16}$$

where we have introduced

$$\rho_\phi(P^2) \equiv \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{d\mathbf{p}}_i \; \delta^{(D)}\left(P - \sum p_i\right), \quad \rho_\psi(K^2) \equiv \int \prod_{j=1}^{n'} \tilde{d\mathbf{k}}_j \; \delta^{(D)}\left(K - \sum k_i\right). \quad (17)$$

From (12) and (16), we find the general expression for the inclusive decay rate per unit volume:

$$\Gamma_{total} = \frac{1}{2T}\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dV} = \int ds ds' \; \rho_\phi(s)\rho_\psi(s') \; \Gamma(s, s'), \quad (18)$$

where

$$\Gamma(s, s') = \frac{1}{8}(2\pi)^D \sqrt{\pi} \; \lambda^2 \int \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{P}}{(\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}} \delta_T(\sqrt{2} \; \Omega) \quad (19)$$

and the parameter Ω is now expressed in terms of s, s' and $\mathbf{P}$,

$$\Omega = P^0 + K^0 = (\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2} - (\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}. \quad (20)$$

Note that K^0 is negative because ψ fields are ghosts.

The rate $\Gamma(s, s')$ has to be integrated with the spectral densities $\rho(s)$ in order to obtain the total rate (18). For the special case of a single stable particle of mass, $n = 1$, the density is

$$\rho(s) = \delta(m^2 - s), \quad (21)$$

where m is the particle's mass. For $n > 1$, we will be mostly interested in the form of $\rho(s)$ at large values of s, much greater than all particle masses squared. On dimensional grounds, we can write

$$\rho_\phi(s) \sim s^{\frac{D(n-1)}{2} - n} \quad (22)$$

and similarly for $\rho_\psi(s)$.

To connect with standard arguments, let us for a moment consider the limit $T \to \infty$. Then,

$$\delta_T(\sqrt{2} \; \Omega) \to \delta(\sqrt{2} \; \Omega) = \sqrt{2} \; (\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2} \delta(s - s'). \quad (23)$$

Using (19) and (18), we have

$$\Gamma_{total} = \frac{1}{8}(2\pi)^{D+\frac{1}{2}} \; \lambda^2 \int \; ds \; \rho_\phi(s)\rho_\psi(s) \; \Gamma(s), \quad (24)$$

where

$$\Gamma(s) = \int \frac{d^D\mathbf{P}}{(\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2}} \; . \quad (25)$$

The momentum integral in (25) leads immediately to the well known divergence associated with boost integration, as in (2).

Returning now to finite values of T, let us examine the momentum integral $\Gamma(s,s')$ in (19). At large values of $|\mathbf{P}|$, $\mathbf{P}^2 \gg s, s'$, we have $\Omega \approx (s-s')/2|\mathbf{P}| \ll 1$ and $\delta_T(\sqrt{2}\Omega) \approx const.$ Hence, for $D > 2$ the integral is still divergent. We shall discuss what can be done about this divergence in the following sections. But before getting to that, let us stop to consider the special case of $D = 2$, when the integral (19) is convergent.

In this case, $\Gamma(s,s')$ can be estimated as

$$\Gamma(s,s') \sim \lambda^2 \min\{|s-s'|^{-1}\ , T/\sqrt{s+s'}\} \sim \lambda^2 (|s-s'| + \sqrt{s+s'}/T)^{-1}. \tag{26}$$

To estimate the total rate (18), we note that for $D = 2$ it follows from (22) that the spectral densities are $\rho_\phi(s) \sim \rho_\psi(s) \sim s^{-1}$ for all values of n, n'. Substituting this and (26) in Eq.(18) for the total rate, we obtain

$$\Gamma_{total} \sim \lambda^2 \int_{\sim m^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \int_{\sim m^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds'}{s'} (|s-s'| + \sqrt{s+s'}/T)^{-1} \sim \lambda^2 m^{-2} \ln(mT). \tag{27}$$

Here, the lower bounds on s and s' integrations are set by the particle masses, which we assume for simplicity to have the same order of magnitude, $\sim m$. Note that the total vacuum decay rate (27) increases logarithmically with time T, as one might expect from the logarithmic divergence of the boost integral (25) in $2D$.

In the case of physical interest, $D = 4$, the vacuum decay rate (19) is divergent even at finite values of T. In the following sections we will show that this divergence can be removed if we allow the interaction between the particle and ghost sectors to be nonlocal. From now on we shall focus on the physically interesting case of $D = 4$.

III. RESTRICTED NON-LOCALITY

We shall first consider a simplified model in which interactions are local within the particle and ghost sectors, while the interaction mediating between the sectors is non-local, being described by a Lorentz invariant form factor $g(z)$. The corresponding interaction Lagrangian, generalizing Eq.(8), has the form

$$S_I = \lambda \int d^4x \int d^4z\ \phi^n(x+z)\ g(z)\ \psi^{n'}(x-z)\ . \tag{28}$$

A standard local interaction is recovered if we take

$$g(z) = \delta^{(4)}(z). \tag{29}$$

As before, we restrict the duration of the interaction to a finite time interval,

$$(x^0 \pm z^0)^2 \lesssim T^2, \tag{30}$$

by means of a Gaussian regulator. Then the dependence on x^0 and z^0 factorizes, as $e^{-x_0^2/T^2} e^{-z_0^2/T^2}$. On the other hand, it may be useful to formally allow for two different time-scales T' and T in the two factors of the regulator:

$$S_I = \lambda \int d^4x \; e^{-x_0^2/T'^2} \int d^4z \; \phi^n(x+z) \; g(z) \; e^{-z_0^2/T^2} \psi^m(x-z) \; . \tag{31}$$

In the limit $T' \to \infty$ the interaction is translation invariant, and energy is conserved even if we keep T finite. In what follows, unless otherwise stated, we shall keep both of them finite. The case of our interest will be $T \sim T'$, corresponding to an interaction of finite duration.

With a nonlocal interaction (31) the matrix element (12) for particle and ghost production generalizes to

$$\mathcal{M} = \lambda \int d^4z \; g(z) \; e^{-z_0^2/T^2} \int d^4x \; e^{-iP(x+z)} e^{-iK(x-z)} \; e^{-x_0^2/T'^2} = (2\pi)^4 \lambda \; \delta^3(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{K}) \; \delta_{T'}(\Omega) \; W_T(w, \mathbf{P}), \tag{32}$$

where

$$W_T(w, \mathbf{P}) = \int d^4z \; g(z) e^{-iwz^0} e^{2i\mathbf{P}\mathbf{z}} e^{-z_0^2/T^2} \tag{33}$$

and we have introduced the notation $w = (\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2} + (\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}$. The total decay probability is given by Eq.(18) with

$$\Gamma(s, s') = 2\pi^4 \sqrt{\pi} \; \lambda^2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{P}}{(\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2} (\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}} |W_T|^2 \; \delta_{T'}(\sqrt{2} \; \Omega). \tag{34}$$

Expressing the form factor g in terms of its Fourier transform G,

$$g(z^2) = (2\pi)^{-4} \int dE \; d^3\mathbf{q} \; e^{i(Ez^0 - \mathbf{q}\mathbf{z})} \; G(E, \mathbf{q}), \tag{35}$$

and substituting in (33), have

$$W_T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \; \delta_T(w - E) \; G(E, 2\mathbf{P}). \tag{36}$$

In general, we can split the Lorentz invariant function $G(E, \mathbf{q})$ into negative and positive frequency parts

$$G(E, 2\mathbf{P}) = \theta(E)\ G_S^+ + \theta(-E)\ G_S^-, \tag{37}$$

where, we have introduced the invariant

$$S \equiv (E/2)^2 - \mathbf{P}^2. \tag{38}$$

Note that $G_S^\pm$ should vanish for $S < 0$, otherwise we would have imaginary energies at low momenta (corresponding to exponential growth in the integrand in (35) at large time-like separations).

Substituting (36) in (18), we have

$$\Gamma_{total} = 2\pi^4\sqrt{\pi}\ \lambda^2 \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'=\pm} \int ds\ ds'\ dS\ dS'\ \rho_\phi(s)\rho_\psi(s')\ \Gamma^{\sigma\sigma'}(s, s', S, S'), \tag{39}$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\sigma\sigma'} = G_S^\sigma\ G_{S'}^{*\sigma'} \int d^3\mathbf{P} \frac{\delta_{T'}(\sqrt{2}\ \Omega)\ \delta_T(w - E_\sigma)\ \delta_T(w - E'_{\sigma'})}{(\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + S)^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + S')^{1/2}}. \tag{40}$$

Here,

$$E_\pm = \pm 2(\mathbf{P}^2 + S)^{1/2}, \quad E'_\pm = \pm 2(\mathbf{P}^2 + S')^{1/2}. \tag{41}$$

Note that the integral over momenta is manifestly convergent. Further, we note that, unless $\sigma = \sigma' = +$, the $\delta_T(w - E_\sigma)$ exponentially switches off the interaction in the limit $T \to \infty$.

As an illustrative example consider the form factor

$$G_S^\pm = I_\pm \delta(S - s_0). \tag{42}$$

For $T \gg s_0^{1/2}$, the G_S^- contribution drops out and the rate simplifies to

$$\Gamma_{total} \propto \lambda^2 I_+^2 T \int ds\ ds'\ \rho_\phi(s)\rho_\psi(s') \int d^3\mathbf{P} \frac{\delta_{T'}(\sqrt{2}\ \Omega)\ \delta_T(\sqrt{2}(w - E_0))}{(\mathbf{P}^2 + s)^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + s')^{1/2}(\mathbf{P}^2 + s_0)}. \tag{43}$$

where $E_0 = 2(\mathbf{P}^2 + s_0)^{1/2}$. The momentum integral converges, and is dominated by momenta of order $p_* \sim (s + s')T$. Hence, we can estimate

$$\Gamma_{total} \sim \lambda^2 I_+^2 T T' \int ds\ ds'\ \rho_\phi(s)\rho_\psi(s')(s + s')^{-1}. \tag{44}$$

We thus see that the quadratic divergence in the decay rate which occurs in (2), due to integration over the total momentum $\mathbf{P}$ of the decay products, disappears in the present

context. Instead, we obtain a rate that grows quadratically in time, in agreement with the heuristic arguments presented in the Introduction. It can be verified that these conclusions are not restricted to our specific example (42) and apply to a wide class of form factors.

However, we should also consider the integral over the invariant energies s and s' of the decay products. When s and s' are large compared with the masses of the species involved, the spectral densities are given by (cf. Eq.(22) with $D = 4$)

$$\rho_\phi(s) \sim s^{n-2}, \quad \rho_\psi(s') \sim (s')^{n'-2} \tag{45}$$

Hence, for $n+n' > 2$ the integrals over s and s' in (44) would not converge unless we impose some kind of high energy cut-off. [2]

Let us compare the present situation with the case discussed by Kaplan and Sundrum [3], where the integral over total momentum $\mathbf{P}$ is regularized by means of a Lorentz breaking cut-off. They noted that the integral over the invariant energy s of the decay products would also be divergent and pointed out that this divergence could be removed by new (Lorentz invariant) physics which might soften the interaction at energies above some scale s_0 (which they took to be of the same order as the Lorentz breaking cut-off). Here, instead, we find that even if the interaction only carries invariant energy of order s_0, we still have a divergence when we integrate over the invariant energies of the decay products s and s'. This may seem surprising, since we are used to thinking that the invariant energy of the mediator should be the same as that of the decay products. However, in the present case energy is not conserved because the interaction is switched on and off. As a result, at momenta of order $p_* \gtrsim (s+s')T$, the $\delta_T(\Omega)$ of "energy conservation" allows s and s' to be very different from each other, and to be much larger than s_0.

In the following Section we explore a model where the interaction vertex between particles and ghosts is fully non-local, so that the form factor depends on more than just one kinematical invariant. We shall also assume that interactions become soft when the values of these invariants exceed the scale μ. As we shall see, this may lead to acceptable decay rates in phenomenologically interesting scenarios.

[2] The rate would be finite without any cut-off in the invariant energies if we assumed that $G_S^+ = 0$, but then the two sectors would be disconnected at $T \to \infty$, where $\delta_T(w + E_0) \to 0$.

IV. FULLY NON-LOCAL INTERACTION

Here, we consider non-local vertices where the different legs of matter in a given sector do not necessarily meet at the same point. For simplicity, we restrict attention to the four leg vertex:

$$S_I = \lambda \int d^4x\ d^4z\ d^4y_1\ d^4y_2\ \phi(x+z+y_1)\phi(x+z-y_1)g(z,y_i)\psi(x-z+y_2)\psi(x-z-y_2). \quad (46)$$

The regulated amplitude is then given by

$$\mathcal{M} = (2\pi)^4\lambda\ \delta^3(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{K})\ \delta_{T'}(\Omega)\ W_T(w,\mathbf{P},p,k), \quad (47)$$

where, as usual, $P = p_1 + p_2$, $K = k_1 + k_2$, $\Omega = P^0 + K^0$, $w = P^0 - K^0$, and we have introduced $p = p_1 - p_2$, $k = k_1 - k_2$ and

$$W_T(w,\mathbf{P},p,k) = \int d^4z\ d^4y_1\ d^4y_2\ \ g(z,y_i)e^{-i(wz^0-2\mathbf{Pz})}e^{-i(p\cdot y_1+k\cdot y_2)}e^{-[(z^0)^2+(y_1^0)^2+(y_2^0)^2]/T^2}. \quad (48)$$

In terms of the form factor in Fourier space G, defined by

$$g(z,y_i) = (2\pi)^{-12}\int d^4q\ d^4q_p\ d^4q_k\ \ e^{i(q\cdot z+q_p\cdot y_1+q_k\cdot y_2)}G(q,q_p,q_k), \quad (49)$$

we have

$$W_T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE\ dE_p\ dE_k\ \delta_T(w-E)\ \delta_T(p^0-E_p)\ \delta_T(k^0-E_k)\ G(q,q_p,q_k), \quad (50)$$

where in the last equation $q = (E, 2\mathbf{P})$, $q_p = (E_p, \mathbf{p})$ and $q_k = (E_k, \mathbf{k})$. Introducing the invariants

$$S = q^2/4 = E^2/4 - \mathbf{P}^2, \quad (51)$$

$$S_p = q_p^2 = E_p^2 - \mathbf{p}^2, \quad (52)$$

$$S_k = q_k^2 = E_k^2 - \mathbf{k}^2, \quad (53)$$

we may decompose G into negative and positive frequencies for each one of the energies.

$$G(q,q_p,q_k) = \sum_{\sigma,\sigma',\sigma''=\pm}\theta(\sigma E)\theta(\sigma' E_p)\theta(\sigma'' E_k)G^{\sigma\sigma'\sigma''}_{q,q_p,q_k} \quad (54)$$

Then, we may write

$$W_T = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\sigma,\sigma',\sigma''=\pm}\int dS\ dS_p\ dS_k\ \frac{\delta_T(w-E^\sigma)\ \delta_T(p^0-E_p^{\sigma'})\ \delta_T(k^0-E_k^{\sigma''})}{(\mathbf{P}^2+S)^{1/2}(\mathbf{p}^2+S_p)^{1/2}(\mathbf{k}^2+S_k)^{1/2}}\ G^{\sigma\sigma'\sigma''}_{q,q_p,q_k}. \quad (55)$$

Note that $G^{\sigma\sigma'\sigma''}_{q,q_p,q_k}$ depends on the subscripts only trough invariants constructed out of the momenta q, q_p and q_k. These are S, S_p, S_k and the products

$$\pi_p = q \cdot q_p, \quad \pi_k = q \cdot q_k, \quad \pi_{pk} = q_p \cdot q_k. \tag{56}$$

The decay rate is given by

$$\Gamma_{total} = 8\pi^4\sqrt{\pi}\lambda^2 \int \prod_{i,j=1}^{2} \tilde{d}\mathbf{p}_i \; \tilde{d}\mathbf{k}_j \; \delta^3(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{K}) \; \delta_{T'}(\sqrt{2}\;\Omega) \; |W_T|^2. \tag{57}$$

We have $(3-1)\times 4 - 3 = 5$ powers of momentum from the phase space integrals. On the other hand, there are 6 powers of momentum in the denominator of $|W_T|^2$. Also, assuming that the non-local interaction becomes soft at a short distance scale μ^{-1}, the domain of the integration in (55) is effectively finite $S, S_p, S_k \lesssim \mu^2$, and we do not expect divergences in the decay rate.

Let us define $p_* \equiv \mu^2 T$, and assume that $T \gg \mu^{-1}$. Then, we can estimate

$$W_T \approx G(q, q_p, q_k). \qquad (|\mathbf{p}_i|, |\mathbf{k}_i| \lesssim p_*) \tag{58}$$

Then, assuming that the rate (57) is dominated by momenta of order p_*, we have

$$\Gamma_{total} \sim \frac{\pi^4\sqrt{\pi}}{2(2\pi)^{12}}\lambda^2 T' \int_{\mathbf{p}_i^2, \mathbf{k}_i^2 \sim p_*^2} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_1 d^3\mathbf{p}_2 \; d^3\mathbf{k}_2 \; d^3\mathbf{k}_2}{|p_1^0 \; p_2^0 \; k_1^0 \; k_2^0|} \; \delta^3(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{K}) \; |G(q, q_p, q_k)|^2. \tag{59}$$

As mentioned above, the amplitude G, depends in principle on the 6 invariants we may construct with q, q_p and q_k. Assuming that the interaction becomes soft at the energy scale μ, we may require $S, S_p, S_k, \pi_p, \pi_k, \pi_{pk} \lesssim \mu^2$. The first three of these constraints will restrict the values of the energies E, E_p, E_k to be very close to the modulus of the corresponding spatial momenta. For instance,

$$E = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{P}^2 + S} = 2|\mathbf{P}| + O(S/|\mathbf{P}|) \approx 2|\mathbf{P}| + O(1/T). \tag{60}$$

where in the last step we have assumed $S \lesssim \mu^2$ and $|\mathbf{P}| \sim p_* = \mu^2 T$. Similarly, from $S_p, S_k \lesssim \mu^2$ we have

$$E_p \approx |\mathbf{p}| + O(1/T), \qquad E_k \approx |\mathbf{k}| + O(1/T). \tag{61}$$

Now, from the condition $\pi_p \lesssim \mu^2$ we have

$$\pi_p = q \cdot q_p = E \; Ep - 2\mathbf{P}\mathbf{p} \approx 2|\mathbf{P}||\mathbf{p}| - 2\mathbf{P}\mathbf{p} + O(p_*/T) \lesssim \mu^2. \tag{62}$$

The last constraint implies that $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ have to be aligned within an angle

$$\theta_p \lesssim \mu/p_*. \tag{63}$$

A similar argument can be made for π_k and π_{pk}, leading to additional constraints in the alignment of spatial momenta

$$\theta_k, \theta_{pk} \lesssim \mu/p_*. \tag{64}$$

To satisfy (63) and (64), $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ must remain within a solid angle $\Delta\Omega_p, \Delta\Omega_k \sim \pi\mu^2/p_*^2$ of the total momentum $\mathbf{P}$, effectively suppressing the phase space available by a factor of $\pi^2\mu^4/p_*^4$ (while the integration over the total momentum $\mathbf{P}$ spans the full solid angle 4π). From this, the rate (59) can be estimated as

$$\Gamma_{total} \sim \frac{2\pi^7\sqrt{\pi}}{(2\pi)^{12}}\ \lambda^2 T' p_*^5\ \frac{\mu^4}{p_*^4}|G|^2 \sim\ 10^{-6}\frac{\mu^8}{M_p^4}\ (\mu T)^2, \tag{65}$$

in agreement with the heuristic estimate (7). In the last step we assume $T \sim T'$ and an interaction of gravitational strength $\lambda|G| \sim \mu^2 M_P^{-2}$, where M_p is the Planck mass.

V. DISCUSSION

Vacuum is unstable in the presence of ghosts, and formal calculations give a divergent rate of vacuum decay. Here we found that the rate may actually be finite: the divergent momentum integration may be effectively cut off due to the finite lifetime of the metastable vacuum. We found, however, that this mechanism can work only if the interaction between the particle and ghost sectors is nonlocal above some characteristic energy scale μ. The momentum cutoff P_{max} is then

$$P_{max}(t) \sim \mu^2 t, \tag{66}$$

and assuming that the ghost-particle interaction is gravitational in nature, the vacuum decay rate is given by Eq. (65),

$$\Gamma_{total}(t) \sim\ A\frac{\mu^8}{M_p^4}\ (\mu t)^2, \tag{67}$$

in agreement with the heuristic argument given in the Introduction. Here, t is the time elapsed since the creation of the vacuum, and A is a numerical phase space factor, which we estimated to be of order $A \sim 10^{-6}$.

Throughout the paper we assumed for simplicity that the metastable vacuum was created on a flat hypersurface $t = 0$ in Minkowski space. More realistically, such a vacuum could

be formed as a bubble in the course of eternal inflation. The role of the vacuum creation surface would then be played by a hyperbolic 3-surface of constant negative curvature. For a Minkowski vacuum inside the bubble, we expect that the same estimate (67) for the vacuum decay rate should apply, with t now being the proper time in the FRW coordinates inside the bubble. For a de Sitter vacuum with energy density $\rho_v > 0$, this estimate should still hold for $t \lesssim H_v^{-1}$, where $H_v = (8\pi G\rho_v/3)^{1/2}$ is the Hubble expansion rate in that vacuum.

Is it conceivable that our own vacuum is unstable with respect to production of particles and ghosts? In such a scenario, the time t in Eqs. (66),(67) should be identified with the present cosmic time, $t_0 \sim 10^{10}$ yrs, and the characteristic energy of the particles being produced at present is given by

$$E \sim P_{max}(t_0) \sim 10^{-2}\mu_{-3}^2 M_p, \tag{68}$$

where $\mu_{-3} = \mu/10^{-3}$ eV.

The total energy density of particles (and ghosts) produced up to the present time is

$$\rho_\psi \sim \Gamma(t_0)P_{max}(t_0)t_0 \sim A\frac{\mu^8}{M_p^4}(\mu t_0)^4. \tag{69}$$

This constitutes a fraction

$$\frac{\rho_\psi}{\rho_0} \sim A\left(\frac{\mu}{M_p}\right)^6(\mu t_0)^6 \sim A\left(\frac{P_{max}}{M_p}\right)^6 \sim 10^{-12}A\ \mu_{-3}^{12} \tag{70}$$

of the total energy density of the universe, $\rho_0 \sim M_p^2/t_0^2$.

As we shall see, the cutoff scale μ must be rather low. This means that to the lowest order in pertrubation theory, only massless (and very light, $m \lesssim \mu$) particles can be produced.[3] Unstable particles will eventually decay into gamma rays, protons, electrons and neutrinos, and possibly into some presently unknown stable particles. High-energy photons will scatter on the CMB, producing e^+e^- pairs and cascading down in energy. The resulting background of cascade photons with energies $\lesssim 100$ GeV is constrained [13, 14] by Fermi-LAT measurements [15] to have energy density less than

$$\rho_{cas}^{max} \sim 10^{-6}\ \text{eV/cm}^3 \sim 10^{-9}\rho_0. \tag{71}$$

[3] Heavy particles, of mass $m \gg \mu$. may be produced by multiple graviton exchange. In particular, semi-classical pairs of Bondi dipoles may be produced non-perturbatively [11]. The instantons describing such processes are Lorentz invariant, and as a result the corresponding decay rates do not contain boost divergences: the rates are finite without the need of regulating the duration of interaction (see e.g. [12]).

Assuming that the cascade radiation accounts for a substantial fraction of the total energy density (69) of the produced particles[4], the corresponding bound on μ is

$$\mu \lesssim (1.8 - 5.6) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, \tag{72}$$

where the lower value corresponds to $A \sim 1$ and the higher one to $A \sim 10^{-6}$.

On the other hand, we should make sure that the nonlocal modification of gravity we assumed here is consistent with the precision short-distance tests of the Newton's law. As of now, the inverse square law for forces of gravitational strength has been tested [16] down to distances $l \sim 0.1$ mm. This corresponds to the energy $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ eV, and so we should require

$$\mu \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}, \tag{73}$$

which is marginally consistent with (72).

As we mentioned in the Introduction, a nonlocal interaction generally leads to violations of causality. With $\mu \sim 10^{-3}$ eV, such violations should occur on relatively large distance scales, up to 0.1 mm. For electroweak strength interactions these violations could manifest themselves in scattering experiments [17]. However, for a gravitational strength interaction we seem to be in no danger of running into conflict with observational bounds.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Gregory Gabadadze for collaboration at early stages of this work and for many clarifying discussions later on. We are also grateful to Gia Dvali and Slava Mukhanov for stimulating discussions about issues related to the subject of this paper. This work was supported in part by grants AGAUR 2009-SGR-168, MEC FPA 2007-66665-C02, MEC FPA 2010-20807-C02-02 and CPAN CSD2007-00042 Consolider-Ingenio 2010 (JG)

[4] This is a reasonable assumption if the low-energy sector of the theory is described by the Standard Model. For example, strongly interacting heavy particles will decay producing hadronic cascades, which eventually convert into photons, electrons and neutrinos, plus a smaller number of protons. The only caveat is that most of the energy could be channelled into some unknown weakly interacting stable particles.

and by the National Science Foundation grant PHY-0855447 (AV).

[1] S.M.Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 023509 (2003).

[2] J.M. Cline, S. Jeon and G.D. Moore, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 043543 (2004).

[3] D. E. Kaplan and R. Sundrum, JHEP **0607**, 042 (2006).

[4] A.D. Linde, Rept. Prog. Phys. 47, 925 (1984); Phys. Lett. B 200, 272 (1988); arXiv:hep-th/0211048.

[5] R. R. Caldwell, Phys. Lett. B **545**, 23 (2002).

[6] T.D. Lee and G.C. Wick, Nucl.Phys.B9:209-243,1969; Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1033 (1970).

[7] B. Grinstein, D. O'Connell and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 025012 (2008).

[8] R. Marnelius, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 3411 (1974).

[9] S. B. Giddings, arXiv:1108.2015 [hep-th].

[10] D. Evens, J. W. Moffat, G. Kleppe and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 499 (1991).

[11] R. Emparan and J. Garriga, JHEP **0603**, 028 (2006).

[12] J. Garriga, B. Shlaer and A. Vilenkin, JCAP **1111**, 035 (2011).

[13] V. Berezinsky et al., Phys. Lett. **B 695**, 13 (2011).

[14] M. Ahlers et al., Astropart. Phys., **34**, 106 (2010).

[15] A. A. Abdo et al., [Fermi Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 101101 (2010).

[16] D. J. Kapner, T. S. Cook, E. G. Adelberger, J. H. Gundlach, B. R. Heckel, C. D. Hoyle and H. E. Swanson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 021101 (2007).

[17] B. Grinstein, D. O'Connell and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 105019 (2009).